

## Lecture notes for FYS610 Many particle Quantum Mechanics

Note 3, 18.1 2017

Additions and comments to *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model* by Matthew D. Schwartz (2014)

### Physical interpretation of quantum theories

The basic assumption of any quantum theory is that there is a duality between a pure (isolated) system, which can be completely characterized by a ket  $|\psi\rangle$  in the Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{H}$ , and a (complete and ideal) measurement, which can detect if the system is in a state  $|\psi\rangle$ , or not. Such an experiment only produces a probabilistic result, a conditional probability that we observe the system to be in state  $|\psi\rangle$  given that the system was in state  $|\phi\rangle$ :

$$P(\phi|\psi) = \frac{|\langle\phi|\psi\rangle|^2}{\langle\phi|\phi\rangle\langle\psi|\psi\rangle} \quad (3.1)$$

If  $|\phi\rangle$  and  $|\psi\rangle$  are normalized to 1, the denominator drops out. This will be assumed in the following. From the basic property  $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\phi\rangle^*$  (eq. (2.4)), we have the identity:

$$P(\phi|\psi) = |\langle\phi|\psi\rangle|^2 = |\langle\psi|\phi\rangle|^2 = P(\psi|\phi). \quad (3.2)$$

It is thus postulated that systems can in principle be observed to be in any possible physical state. Furthermore, we have the non-intuitive result that in any quantum theory the probability of measuring a system prepared in state  $|\phi\rangle$  to be in state  $|\psi\rangle$  is the same as the probability that it is observed to be in state  $|\phi\rangle$  if has been prepared to be in state  $|\psi\rangle$ . This equality immediately leads to the principles of *microreversibility* and *detailed balance*, which belong to the foundations of equilibrium statistical mechanics.

When discussing quantum measurements, it is traditional since the early days of quantum mechanics to add the postulate of the **Collapse of the wave function**, which states that:

*After a measurement the system will be in the state selected by the measurement process, independently of its state before the measurement.*

Although supported by countless experiments, the status of this postulate has always been contentious, because does not seem compatible with the mathematical structure of the theory, essentially because it cannot be described by a linear operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . But the situation has proven more interesting. The point is that the applicability of eq. (3.1) assumes that both system and measurement apparatus are isolated systems, fully described by a wavefunction. But this assumption is not fulfilled in most experimental situations. This would require that the equipment is totally insulated from the surrounding during the measurements, any thermal or electrical contact with the surroundings would invalidate it.

Just like for a classical system interacting with its surroundings, one must turn to statistical mechanics to describe a quantum system interacting with its environment.

But it was only in the 1980s that it was realized that such a system would undergo what is known as *quantum decoherence*, a process obeying the laws of quantum statistical mechanics, leading to the *prediction* that the system after the measurement will remain in the state which is the result of the measurement. Thus this need not be added as a separate postulate after all. Furthermore, about the same time it was realized that it is possible to perform *quantum non-demolition experiments*, where the measurement process itself can be described by quantum mechanics. Nevertheless, in most cases the collapse of the wave function is the correct approach, it should just not be regarded as a postulate, but as a theoretical prediction.

## Observables

Classical dynamical variables are represented by *Hermitean* (or self-adjoint) operators in quantum mechanics. These are assumed to have a complete set of real eigenvalues, *i.e.* the solutions of the eigenvalue equation:

$$A|a_i\rangle = a_i|a_i\rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

has infinitely many solutions,  $|a_i\rangle$ , which we for convenience have labelled by their eigenvalues,  $a_i$ . For the moment we assume that the eigenvalues are discrete, and that some way of labelling degenerate states have been implemented, so that  $\langle a_i|a_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . That the set  $\{|a_i\rangle\}$  is complete, and hence can be used as a basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , means that we have the resolution of the unit, eq. (2.7):

$$\sum_i |a_i\rangle\langle a_i| = \mathbb{I}, \quad (2.7)$$

The expansion of an arbitrary wavefunction in this basis of eigenvectors is of course identical in form to eq. (2.1.a):

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathbb{I}|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \psi_i |a_i\rangle, \quad \psi_i = \langle a_i|\psi\rangle. \quad (2.1c)$$

The probability that we shall measure the value  $a_i$  in an experiment measuring the variable  $A$  is then, according to eq. (3.1):

$$P(a_i|\psi) = |\langle a_i|\psi\rangle|^2 = |\psi_i|^2.$$

We note that since:

$$P(a_i|a_j) = |\langle a_i|a_j\rangle|^2 = \delta_{ij}, \quad (3.4)$$

a measurement if the system is in the state  $|a_i\rangle$  when it indeed is, delivers the only sensible answer.

By the usual definition, the expectation value of  $A$  in the state  $|\psi\rangle$  is then, using eqs. (2.7) and (3.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \rangle_\psi &= \sum_i a_i P(a_i|\psi) = \sum_i a_i |\langle a_i|\psi\rangle|^2 = \sum_i a_i \langle \psi|a_i\rangle\langle a_i|\psi\rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \psi|a_i\rangle\langle a_i|A|\psi\rangle = \langle \psi|A|\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Which operators that are important in describing a physical system, and their interrelations, are of course an experimental issue in the last instance. In this course we shall assume that they can be found from the corresponding classical theory, using canonical quantization, or path integral quantization.

## Continuous variables

We know that some important physical variables, like position,  $x$ , and momentum,  $p$ , has a continuous set of eigenvalues. Then the expansion in eq. (2.1c) then becomes an integral:

$$|\psi\rangle = \int da \psi(a)|a\rangle, \quad \psi(a) = \langle a|\psi\rangle, \quad (2.1')$$

while the completeness relation takes the form

$$\int da |a\rangle\langle a| = \mathbb{I} \quad (2.7')$$

The states  $\{|a\rangle$  are not physical, in the sense that one can ever precisely create or measure a physical system in such a state, it would require infinite precision (and energy). Indeed, continuous variables are not normalizable, although the result  $\langle a|a'\rangle = 0$  for  $a \neq a'$  still holds. The correct normalization condition is instead:

$$\langle a|a'\rangle = \delta(a - a'). \quad (2.3')$$

This means that we have extended our formalism to allow distributions. But only normalizable states represent truly physical states, and such states have a straightforward interpretation. From eq. (2.7') we have, if  $|\psi\rangle$  is normalized:

$$1 = \langle\psi|\psi\rangle = \int da |\langle a|\psi\rangle|^2 = \int da |\psi(a)|^2. \quad (2.5')$$

This means, of course, that  $p(a|\psi) = |\psi(a)|^2$  is the probability density of finding a system in the state  $|\psi\rangle$  to have a value of  $a$  between  $a$  and  $a + da$ . Similarly, the expectation value of  $A$  can be written:

$$\langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle = \int da a |\psi(a)|^2 = \int da a \rho(a). \quad (3.5')$$

The above in particular applies to  $a = x$  and  $a = p$ . One also finds the coordinate representation of  $p$  from the canonical commutation relation  $[x, p] = i\hbar$ . Let  $|\xi\rangle$  and  $|\xi'\rangle$  be two eigenvectors of  $x$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\delta(\xi - \xi') &= i\hbar\langle\xi|\xi'\rangle = \langle\xi|(xp - px)|\xi'\rangle = (\xi - \xi')\langle\xi|p|\xi'\rangle \\ \langle\xi|p|\xi'\rangle &= i\hbar \frac{\delta(\xi - \xi')}{\xi - \xi'} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \delta'(\xi - \xi'), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where we have used the relation between  $\delta(x)$  and  $\delta'(x) = -d\delta(x)/dx = -\delta(x)/x$  in the last step. It is easy to verify the correctness of this result:

$$p\psi(x) = \langle x|p|\psi\rangle = \int dx' \langle x|p|x'\rangle \langle x'|\psi\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{i} \int dx' \delta'(x - x') \psi(x') = \frac{\hbar}{i} \psi'(x).$$

Interchanging  $x \leftrightarrow p$  in this derivation, one also finds the momentum-space representation of  $x$ :

$$x\psi(p) = i\hbar\psi'(p).$$